Case: 4:19-cr-00291-RWS-JMB Doc. #: 20 Filed: 05/21/19 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 49

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)			
v.)			
DE ANDRE MOORE) Case No. 4:19CR00291 RWS/JMB			
DEANDRE MOORE Defendant)			
, and the second				
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Eligibility for Detention				
Upon the				
	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or			
	n motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i	on is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of faction, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	aw as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S	S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable			
	nditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person			
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):			
\square (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 1	8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.			
<u> </u>	n term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or			
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum	•			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	rm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs			
	o or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal on of such offenses; or			
\Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a cr				

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; *and* [2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.

(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472	(Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention	Pending Trial
AO +12 1	(IXCV. 11/10)	Order of Determion	I Chung Inai

⊠B. Rebutta	ble Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
defendant as	resumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the sequired and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant one or more of the following offenses:
Cont	n offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the rolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
\boxtimes (2) a	n offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
	n offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years ore is prescribed;
	n offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of isonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
2251	n offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, , 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), , 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
⊠C. Conclus	ions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
	defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is red on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR	
	defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the amption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court conclud	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
By clear and the safety of □By a prepone	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: I convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Example 2	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: I convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. derance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court conclude By clear and the safety of the safety of the defendant	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: It convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. It details the defendant of conditions of release will reasonably assure that appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure that appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure that appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure that appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure that appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure that appearance as required.
the Court concluded By clear and the safety of By a preport the defendant In addition to any Weight Subject Prior crip Participa	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: I convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. derance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nt's appearance as required. findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted minal history ation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
the Court concluded by clear and the safety of the safety of the safety of the defendant in addition to any weight by Subject prior crip Participa History	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: It convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. It details that the defendant is condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure not's appearance as required. It details that the defendant or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure not's appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure not's appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure not's appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure not's appearance as required. It defends that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure not's appearance as required.
the Court conclude □ By clear and the safety of □ By a preport the defendant In addition to any □ Weight □ Subject □ Prior cri □ Participa □ History □ History	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: I convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. derance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nt's appearance as required. findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted minal history ation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision of violence or use of weapons of alcohol or substance abuse
the Court concluded By clear and the safety of By a preponent the defendant In addition to any Weight Subject Prior crip Participa History History Lack of	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: I convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. derance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure at a spearance as required. findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted minal history ation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision of violence or use of weapons of alcohol or substance abuse stable employment
the Court concluded By clear and the safety of By a preport the defendant In addition to any Weight Subject Prior crip Participa History History Lack of Lack of	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: I convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. derance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nt's appearance as required. findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted minal history ation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision of violence or use of weapons of alcohol or substance abuse stable employment stable residence
the Court concluded By clear and the safety of By a preport the defendant In addition to any Weight Subject Prior crip Participa History History Lack of Lack of Lack of Lack of	ering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, es that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: I convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure any other person and the community. derance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure at a spearance as required. findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: of evidence against the defendant is strong to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted minal history ation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision of violence or use of weapons of alcohol or substance abuse stable employment

Cas	se: 4:19-cr-00291-RWS-JME	Doc. #: 20 Filed: 05/21/19 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 51
AO 472 (Rev.	11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
☐ L. ☐ Si ☐ Pi ☐ Pi ☐ U ☐ B ☐ Pr OTHER RE The U.S. Pr 2019, defendifficult upl brother and knife as opp Pennsylvan The govern (brandishin involvemen incentive to illness, the Defendant I	rior failure to appear in court as or rior attempt(s) to evade law enforces se of alias(es) or false documents ackground information unknown rior violations of probation, parole EASONS OR FURTHER EXPLA retrial Services Report that was predant appeared before the undersign oringing with mental health condi- could work at a tire shop. Defendenced to a firearm. Finally, defen- tia that is listed in the Pretrial Rep- ment argued that defendant has no g a firearm in furtherance of a crist it in six carjackings. These are set flee. Defendant has a history of latter circumstance means that defendant	fter serving any period of incarceration detered seement or unverified e, or supervised release NATION: epared on April 30, 2019 is incorporated here by reference. On May 1, and the counsel at his detention hearing. He argued that he has had a stions that could be managed while on pretrial release. He can live with his dant has no history of substance abuse and his 2012 UUW offense involved a dant challenged the veracity of the pending warrant for his arrest from fort. of overcome the presumption of detention as to Counts 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 and of violence) and noted that Counts 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 allege defendant is rious crimes that carry a substanial sentence, which could give defendant an absconding. He is not working and he has a history of untreated mental rendant presents an increased risk of danger to himself and the community, term of supervision and it is unlikely that he would comply with conditions
	Part 1	V - Directions Regarding Detention
for confiner being held with defens person in c	ment in a corrections facility sepa in custody pending appeal. The one se counsel. On order of a court	the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative rate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an ding.
Date:	05/21/2019	/s/Noelle C. Collins

United States Magistrate Judge